

BACHELOR THESIS EVALUATION: THESIS ADVISOR

Thesis topic: GKV-IPReG: A Law in the Interest of Patients?

Author: Ruben Wöllert

Advisor: Tomáš Szalay

Opponent: Lukáš Siegel

Study program: Political Science, Liberal Arts

P

Evaluation contains objective and critical analysis of a bachelor thesis proposal. Evaluation should be considered by the following criteria:

Criteria for the evaluation of the final thesis	Max. points	Points given by evaluator
1. Methodological aspect (logical frame, process of inquiry, topic specification, how realistic are set goals and how adequate are proposed working methods)	10	4
2. Sources of domestic and foreign literature, Familiarity with relevant literature	15	7
3. Formatting and style	15	15
4. Scope and proportionality of content	5	3
5. Systematic approach	15	5
6. Evaluation of achieved results	40	19
Total	100	53

Final evaluation: A (95-100 points), B (83-94 points), C (68-82 points), D (55-67 points), E (50-54 points), Fx(<50 points)

Evaluation, comments, recommendations of the thesis advisor:

In his bachelor thesis, the author criticizes selected provisions of the German healthcare legislation and helps himself with the philosophical arguments of Amartya Sen. He seems to be biased and to have been convinced of the injustice of this legislation prior he made this analysis. The work is designed to confirm his opinion. The arguments of the law's critics were given far more space than those of its supporters. An "appropriate" authority (Sen) was purposely chosen to support his argument. At the same time, if he had reached other philosophical starting points, he would perhaps have reached a different conclusion (it would be interesting to compare the views on the given legislation from different ethical points of view).

The conclusion - that the approved legislation is unjust according to the author - does not offer another tangible result. He might have discussed e.g. the measurability of the potential consequences that he predicts (e.g. the evaluation of the number and structure of home care conditions considered unsatisfactory after the first year of operation of the legislation).

I recommend this thesis toward bachelor thesis defense in August 2022.

In Bratislava, on: August 15th 2022

Signature of evaluator:

